Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/798,036	JAMES, ROBERT G.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ahmed M. Farah	3735
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) Ahmed M. Farah.	(3)	
(2) Michael Bak-Boychuk (Reg. No. 26,362).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 August 2006</u>	Time: <u>12:45 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  1, 3-5, and 9-14  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	the examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1, 3, 4 and 9-14 were briefly discussed in view of the prior art of record. Claim 5 was discussed to correct minor informalities in the claim language. Applicant's representative authorized the examiner to make agreed changes by Examiner's Amendment. Instead of making the changes in line-by-line, Claim 5 is replaced with a new claim 15 (see the attached Examiner's Amendment).